

Connected Health Cities: 2 Citizens' Juries

Oversight Panel Member Bias Questionnaire

1. Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the two citizens' juries carried out for Connected Health Cities in November 2016 were designed *with the aim of* minimising bias?

fully satisfied

2. How satisfied are you that the two citizens' juries carried out for Connected Health Cities in November 2016 were *successfully designed* to minimise bias?

mostly satisfied

Comments and qualifications to your answers above

I think that on the whole the work that has gone in to minimising bias was good. I do think there were one or two areas where one or two questions could have been worded slightly however I also accept the fact that it would have been very difficult in practice to word the question sufficiently to avoid this.

However, as I have said on the whole i am satisfied that significant work has gone into minimising bias on the project.

Your name Ian Inman

Organisation and role Group manager - Public Services Team, Strategic Liaison
Information Commissioner's Office

Signature



Date 28/11/2016

Connected Health Cities: 2 Citizens' Juries

Oversight Panel Member Bias Questionnaire

1. Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the two citizens' juries carried out for Connected Health Cities in November 2016 were designed *with the aim of* minimising bias?
Fully satisfied.
2. How satisfied are you that the two citizens' juries carried out for Connected Health Cities in November 2016 were *successfully designed* to minimise bias?
Mostly satisfied.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above

The process, slide content, and observation of the day I attended suggest the design successfully minimised bias, however it was not possible to take a comprehensive view. It is accepted that design cannot eliminate the risk of bias.

Your name Joanne Bailey

Organisation and role Chair DAAG, NHS Digital. Member, NDG's panel.

Signature



Date

26.11.2016

Connected Health Cities: 2 Citizens' Juries

Oversight Panel Member Bias Questionnaire

1. Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the two citizens' juries carried out for Connected Health Cities in November 2016 were designed *with the aim of* minimising bias?

fully satisfied

2. How satisfied are you that the two citizens' juries carried out for Connected Health Cities in November 2016 were *successfully designed* to minimise bias?

fully satisfied

Comments and qualifications to your answers above

The design process was consultative and thorough. There was a clear brief at the start of the process, which clearly outlined clear and achievable objectives. The jury specification was developed in consultation and with thorough consideration to reduce bias. I believe the selected contributors brought in different perspectives but made joint decisions in identified areas to reduce bias in the process. I enjoyed the work, interactions in our group and the co-ordination provided and believe it was a successful attempt that reached its objective.

Your name Dr Murat Soncul

Organisation and role Alternate Vice Chair, Confidentiality Advisory Group, Health Research Authority

Signature



Date 9 December 2016